arXiv:hep-th/0602275v1 27 Feb 2006

# Triality and Dual Equivalence Between Dirac Field and Topologically Massive Gauge Field

Liu Yu-Fen

*Institute of Theoretical Physics, Academia Sinica, Beijing 100080, China*
email: liuyf@itp.ac.cn

( 2006. February.)

**Abstract**

It is proved that there exist a vector representation of Dirac's spinor field and in one sense it is equivalent to biquaternion (i.e. complexified quaternion) representation. This can be considered as a generalization of Cartan's idea of triality to Dirac's spinors. In the vector representation the first order Dirac Lagrangian is dual equivalent to the two order Lagrangian of topologically massive gauge field. The potential field which corresponds to the Dirac field is obtained by using master (or parent) action approach. The novel gauge field is self-dual and contains both anti-symmetric Lie and symmetric Jordan structure.

# 1 Introduction

Dualization has appeared in several different contexts in theoretical physics. Here **duality** will mean that there exist two equivalent descriptions of a model using different fields. A classical example is the fermion-boson duality between the massive Thirring model for spinor $S(\psi)$ and sine-Gordon model for boson $S(\phi)$. Up to date only in certain 2D-dualities do we have an explicit relation between $S(\psi)$ and $S(\phi)$ where $\phi \sim \bar{\psi}\psi$ is a bound state from the point of view of Thirring model[1]. Techniques such as "bosonization" showed equivalence between theories of drastically different appearance. The boson in one approach might appear as a bound state of fermions in another, but in terms of the respective Lagrangian approaches, they were equally fundamental. Many phenomena that are difficult to understand in the fermion language has simple semiclassical explanation in the Bose language. Another classical example is the duality between the first order 3D massive self-dual vector field and two-order 3D topologically massive gauge field[2,3]. It is known (up to date) that only in three dimensions, a topological Chern–Simons term (which must includes 3D Levi-Civita symbol) is bilinear and can produce a mass. The study of such a gauge theory has particularly interest because the Chern–Simons characteristics supply a gauge-invariant mass-generating mechanism, and novel topological mass term is available.

In this paper we are interested in the vector representation of Dirac's spinors in (3+1) dimensional Minkowski space (spinor-vector duality) and the mass-generating mechanism for Dirac's particles.

The first example of vector representation for spinors was derived by E. Cartan[4] for SO(8) case. Spinors can be decomposed (under the group of rotations) into *two* irreducible parts, which are the two types of semi-spinors. In general, the dimension of (real Euclidian) vector space $D_V = n$ (even) is not equal to the dimension of the corresponding semi-spinor space of the first type $D_{S1} = 2^{n/2-1}$ and dimension of semi-spinor space of the second type $D_{S2} = 2^{n/2-1}$. But in the special case, **only** when $n = 8$, the above *three* spaces have the *same* dimension (i.e $D_V = D_{S1} = D_{S2} = 8$), all real and are remarkably on an equal footing. In fact, the vector representation of above two semi-spinors is obtained only in this special 8D case. The interesting "triality", or symmetry between the above three eight-dimensional spaces appears in this case.

The word "**triality**" was chosen by analogy with the word "duality", and is applied by Cartan to the algebraic and geometric aspects of the $\Sigma_3$ symmetry which Spin(8) has. More general notion of triality is due to Adams[5,6,7]. One needs to know what three objects the symmetry group $\Sigma_3$ permute; and the answer is that it permutes **representations**, i.e. triality permutes vector and two semi-spinors representations. Moreover, the "8-squares formula" in the real domain (i.e. the product of two sums of eight squares is itself the sum of eight squares.) which deduced from the triality formulation by Cartan[4], can be considered as a *normed* condition for division *octonion*[8]. It means that for the above SO(8) case, the vector representation of the semi-spinors is equivalent to octonion representation! (Historically, in 1845 an "8-squares formula" was discovered by A. Cayley ; J.T. Graves claimed to have discovered this earlier, and in fact C.F. Degan had already noted a more general formula in 1818.)

By the Hurwitz[9] and Frobenius[10] theorems, the only finite dimensional division algebras over reals are: **R** (real), **C** (complex), **H** (quaternion), **O** (octonion) algebras. Any division algebra gives a triality, and it follows that *normed* trialities only occur in dimensions 1, 2, 4 or 8. Here we use a generalized concept of "triality" advocated by Adams[5,6,7]. This conclusion is quite deep. By comparison, Hurwitz's classification of *normed* division algebra (in real domain) is easier to prove. It is important to stress that the concept of "triality" comes from the theory of vector representation of two semi-spinors. In our theory "triality" can be considered as an algebraic properties of biquaternion algebra, which was needed in the vector reformulation of Dirac's spinors.

We propose here an alternative way to generalize Cartan's idea to the Dirac's spinors which associated with Minkowski space. We will prove that there exist a vector representation of Dirac's spinor field, and in one sense it is equivalent to biquaternion (i.e. complexified quaternion) representation. The symbol $\check{c}^{\mu\nu\lambda}$ which characterizes the bilinear law of composition for biquaternion (and plays crucial role in our theory), contains both (anti-symmetric) Lee and (symmetric) Jordan structure and this definition preserve Lorenz invariance[11]. Furthermore we will prove that in vector representation the first order Dirac Lagrangian is dual-equivalent to the two order Lagrangian of the topologically massive self-dual gauge field. Here we will use parent (or master) action approach which was proposed by Deser and Jackiw[2]. This approach was in fact originated from the Legendre transformation, but an explicit description of one as a function of the other would be non-local and non-linear. The potential (gauge) field which corresponds to Dirac's vector field is obtained by using this approach.

## 2 The algebra of biquaternion

The quaternion was discovered by W.R. Hamilton in 1843. He regarded it as his most important contribution and labored the rest of his life, for 22 years, to formulate everything in physics and astronomy in terms of quaternion. But he was not successful. In a letter to a friend in 1844 he wondered whether the vector part could represent the three space dimensions and the scalar part represent time! Since the field of quaternion is in a 4-dimensional Euclidean space, **complex** components for quaternions are required for $1+3$ space-time of special relativity [12]. Quaternions of this nature were called **biquaternion** by Hamilton, and do not form a division algebra.

Let $G^\mu$, $H^\nu$, $S^\lambda$ (here $\mu = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, n$) are vectors. The dot product (scalar product) and bilinear law of composition for vectors (tensor product) are defined here as

$$\begin{array}{rcl} G \cdot H & \xrightarrow{\text{def.}} & G^\mu g_{\mu\nu} H^\nu \\ (G \otimes H) & \xrightarrow{\text{def.}} & G_\mu c^{\mu\lambda\nu} H_\nu = S^\lambda \end{array} \tag{1}$$

The metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ and the symbol $c^{\mu\lambda\nu}$ which satisfy the following "normed condition"

$$(G \cdot G)(H \cdot H) = (G \otimes H) \cdot (G \otimes H) \tag{2}$$

completely define the structure of the algebra, which is needed here. (Here we shall use the convention: the word "algebra" will mean "algebra with unit element".)

In 1898 Hurwitz[9] proved that for real vectors, if $g_{\mu\nu} = \delta_{\mu\nu}$ (Euclidean) then the above algebraic identities are possible *only* for $n = 1, 2, 4, 8$. This result, of course, is intimately related to the well-known result, due to $\cdots$ that there exist only four normed algebras over reals: **R** (real), **C** (complex), **H** (quaternion), **O** (octonion). Each algebra has unit element $k^\mu$ (real) such that for any (real) $G^\mu$

$$k_\mu c^{\mu\lambda\nu} G_\nu = G_\mu c^{\mu\lambda\nu} k_\nu = G^\lambda \quad , \quad k^\mu \delta_{\mu\nu} k^\nu = 1 \tag{3}$$

The unit element is necessarily unique and characterize the representation of the algebra. From abstract point of view the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ and the symbol $c^{\mu\lambda\nu}$ completely define the structure of the algebra and the unit vector $k^\mu$ is "hidden inside" $c^{\mu\lambda\nu}$.

We must distinguish between the composition which denoted here by symbol $c^{\mu\lambda\nu}$ and the antisymmetric cross product which is used in Maxwell theory of electromagnetism. As is well known, the natural generalization of the concept of the antisymmetric bilinear cross product (and curl) in higher dimensional space is possible only in $n = 1, 3, 7$ dimensional spaces[13], and they come from the **C**, **H**, **O**. For physicist it means that the natural generalization of Maxwell theory of electromagnetism in higher dimensional space possible only in seven dimensional space. In other words the construction of totally antisymmetric three index symbol $\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}$ possible only in 3 and 7 dimensions. (Comments: The above result is a good reason why $S^2$ and $S^6$ are the only spheres that can have an almost complex structure. In the case of $S^2$ the almost complex structure comes from an honest complex structure on the Riemann sphere. The 6-sphere, $S^6$, when considered as the set of unit norm imaginary octonion, inherits an almost complex structure from the octonion multiplication. Recently Chern proposed ("last Chern theorem") that none of the almost complex structure on $S^6$ can be integrable and argued that from this it follows that $S^6$ does not admit any complex structure[14].)

In fact, our physical space is 4-dim. Minkowski space with signature $(+, -, -, -)$. Moreover, there is the *complex* continuum of quantum mechanics, which gives rise to the concept of probability amplitude and superposition law, leading to the picture of **complex** Hilbert space for description of phenomena. Thus here we need the concept of (complexified) biquaternion. (Notice that the *dimension* and *signature* of space-time are now necessarily fixed; a biquaternion description would not work if these did not have the unique values that we observe for our physical universe.) For these, let $G^\mu$, $H^\nu$, $S^\lambda$ are complex vectors and

$$\begin{aligned}
&g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} = \mathrm{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1)\\
&c^{\mu\nu\lambda} \overset{\text{def.}}{=} (t^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} - i\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho})k_\rho = t^{\mu\nu\lambda} - i\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

here $\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}$ is the Levi-Civita symbol, with $\epsilon^{0123} = 1$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&k^\mu \eta_{\mu\nu} k^\nu = 1\\
&\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} = \tfrac{i}{4} tr(\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\lambda \gamma^\rho)\\
&t^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \overset{\text{def.}}{=} \tfrac{1}{4} tr(\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\lambda \gamma^\rho) = (\eta^{\mu\nu}\eta^{\lambda\rho} + \eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\lambda} - \eta^{\mu\lambda}\eta^{\nu\rho})\\
&c^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \overset{\text{def.}}{=} (t^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} - i\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho})
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

and $\gamma^\mu$ are Dirac's matrices. We can prove that the above $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ and $c^{\mu\nu\lambda}$ satisfy Eq. (2) and

$$c^{\mu\nu\sigma}\eta_{\sigma\delta}(c^{\delta\rho\lambda})^* + c^{\mu\rho\sigma}\eta_{\sigma\delta}(c^{\delta\nu\lambda})^* = 2\eta^{\mu\lambda}\eta^{\nu\rho} \tag{6}$$

in which asterisk $*$ represents complex conjugate and $(c^{\delta\rho\lambda})^* = c^{\lambda\rho\delta}$. (Raising and lowering indices by Lorentzial metric $\eta^{\mu\nu}$ and $\eta_{\mu\nu}$, the summation convention is assumed for repeated indices.) These relations define a structure of the algebra of biquaternion [11,12].

The unit (time-like real) vector $k^\mu$ means a preferred direction (i.e. the "time"-direction) in Minkowski space-time, or a split of the physical space-time into space and time.

Because the above algebra is associative, it can be considered in terms of the matrices (which are more familiar to physicist). Let the matrices $e^\mu$ be the hypercomplex basic units, the rows and columns of matrices $e^\mu$ are labelled with four-component indices $\nu, \lambda$ etc., with $(e^\mu)^{\nu\cdot}_{\cdot\lambda}$. The matrix representation of biquaternion can be expressed as

$$G = G_\mu e^\mu \quad ; \quad (e^\mu)^{\nu\cdot}_{\cdot\lambda} \overset{\text{def.}}{=} c^{\nu\sigma\mu}\eta_{\sigma\lambda} \tag{7}$$

here $G_\mu$ are complex. We can prove that a bilinear law of composition (1) is equivalent to matrix multiplication $(G_\mu e^\mu)^{\lambda\cdot}_{\cdot\sigma}(H_\nu e^\nu)^{\sigma\cdot}_{\cdot\rho} = (S_\mu e^\mu)^{\lambda\cdot}_{\cdot\rho}$. In the special coordinate system, where $k^\mu = \delta^{\mu 0}$, the basis element $e^0 = I$ and for $\nu = 1, 2, 3$ we have $e^\nu = \sqrt{-1}\hat{e}^\nu$ here $\hat{e}^\nu$ obey the multiplication law:

$$\begin{gathered} \hat{e}^1\hat{e}^1 = \hat{e}^2\hat{e}^2 = \hat{e}^3\hat{e}^3 = \hat{e}^1\hat{e}^2\hat{e}^3 = -I \\ \hat{e}^1\hat{e}^2 = -\hat{e}^2\hat{e}^1 = \hat{e}^3 \end{gathered} \tag{8}$$

(the last relation being cycle). These relations characterize the algebra of *complexified bi*quaternions[12]. Although the matrix representation of the biquaternion algebra is more familiar to physicist, but I do not use it here. It is convenient for me to work with a tensor $c^{\mu\nu\lambda}$. In the tensor language, the second-rank tensor $(c^{\nu\sigma\mu}G_\mu)^*$ (which corresponds to matrix $(e^\mu G_\mu)^*$) is self-dual (see Eqs. (45)–(47) below).

In Minkowski space, the dot product for complex vectors is not necessarily real, let alone positive. For some physical considerations, instead of time-like (real) unit vector $k^\mu$ we can introduce space-like (real) unit vector $j^\mu$ such that $j^\mu\eta_{\mu\nu}k^\nu = 0$ and instead of $c^{\mu\nu\lambda}$ (which defined in Eqs. (4) $\sim$ (6)) we introduce $\check{c}^{\mu\nu\lambda}$ such that

$$\begin{gathered} j^\mu\eta_{\mu\nu}j^\nu = -1 \\ \check{c}^{\mu\nu\lambda} \overset{\text{def.}}{=} (t^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} - i\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho})j_\rho = \check{t}^{\mu\nu\lambda} - i\check{\epsilon}^{\mu\nu\lambda} \\ j\check{\otimes}G \xrightarrow{\text{def.}} j_\mu\check{c}^{\mu\lambda\nu}G_\nu = -G^\lambda \\ (G\cdot G)(H\cdot H) = -(G\check{\otimes}H)\cdot(G\check{\otimes}H) \\ \check{c}^{\mu\nu\sigma}\eta_{\sigma\delta}(\check{c}^{\delta\rho\lambda})^* + \check{c}^{\mu\rho\sigma}\eta_{\sigma\delta}(\check{c}^{\delta\nu\lambda})^* = -2\eta^{\mu\lambda}\eta^{\nu\rho} \end{gathered} \tag{9}$$

On the analogy of Dirac's "gama five" matrix $\gamma_5$, we introduce unipotent $c_5^{\mu\nu}$ such that

$$\begin{gathered} c_5^{\mu\lambda} \overset{\text{def.}}{=} j_\nu c^{\nu\mu\lambda\rho}k_\rho = k_\nu\check{c}^{\nu\lambda\mu} \\ c_5^{\mu\rho}\eta_{\rho\sigma}c_5^{\sigma\nu} = \eta^{\mu\nu} \\ \check{c}^{\mu\nu\lambda} = -c^{\mu\nu\sigma}\eta_{\sigma\rho}c_5^{\rho\lambda} = (c_5^{\mu\sigma})^*\eta_{\sigma\rho}c^{\rho\nu\lambda} \end{gathered} \tag{10}$$

The last relation can be considered as the mapping from $c^{\mu\nu\lambda} \to \check{c}^{\mu\nu\lambda}$. We see that ($\eta_{\mu\nu}$, $\check{c}^{\mu\lambda\nu}$) can be considered as another representation of the algebra of biquaternion which equivalent to $(\eta_{\mu\nu},\, c^{\mu\lambda\nu})$. For some physical consideration, we will work only with $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ and $\check{c}^{\mu\lambda\nu}$ here.

The Dirac operator is defined as

$$\check{D}^{\mu\nu} \overset{\text{def.}}{=} \check{c}^{\mu\lambda\nu}\partial_\lambda \quad , \quad (\check{D}^{\mu\lambda})^{*}\eta_{\lambda\rho}(\check{D}^{\rho\nu}) = -\eta^{\mu\nu}\partial\partial \tag{11}$$

Any 4-dimensional biquaternion $G_\mu$ can be decomposed in the sum of two parts: vector part and scalar part. For this, without lose of generality, we introduce unipotent $c_3^{\mu\nu} \overset{\text{def.}}{=} \check{c}^{\mu\lambda\nu} j_\lambda$ (which has property $c_3 c_3 = I$) and two mutually annihilating idempotents

$$\begin{aligned} p_+^{\mu\nu} &\overset{\text{def.}}{=} \tfrac{1}{2}(\eta^{\mu\nu} + c_3^{\mu\nu}) = \eta^{\mu\nu} + j^\mu j^\nu \\ p_-^{\mu\nu} &\overset{\text{def.}}{=} \tfrac{1}{2}(\eta^{\mu\nu} - c_3^{\mu\nu}) = -j^\mu j^\nu \\ G^\mu &= p_+^{\mu\nu} G_\nu + p_-^{\mu\nu} G_\nu = \mathcal{G}^\mu + (-j^\mu \phi) \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Here $\mathcal{G}^\mu \overset{\text{def.}}{=} p_+^{\mu\nu} G_\nu$ is the vector part of biquaternion (which has only three independent complex components) and $\phi \overset{\text{def.}}{=} G^\nu j_\nu$ is the complex scalar.

The *associative* biquaternion product $G \check{\otimes} K \rightarrow G_\mu(\check{t}^{\mu\nu\lambda} - i\check{\epsilon}^{\mu\nu\lambda})K_\lambda$ can be decomposed into antisymmetric and symmetric parts. The antisymmetric part $\frac{1}{2}(G\check{\otimes}K - K\check{\otimes}G) \xrightarrow{\text{def.}} -iG_\mu\check{\epsilon}^{\mu\nu\lambda}K_\lambda$ corresponds to the Lie algebra which generates generalized rotations. There is Jacobi identity

$$G_\mu\check{\epsilon}^{\mu\nu\lambda}[K_\rho\check{\epsilon}^{\rho\lambda\gamma}H_\gamma] + K_\mu\check{\epsilon}^{\mu\nu\lambda}[H_\rho\check{\epsilon}^{\rho\lambda\gamma}G_\gamma] + H_\mu\check{\epsilon}^{\mu\nu\lambda}[G_\rho\check{\epsilon}^{\rho\lambda\gamma}K_\gamma] = 0 \tag{13}$$

What about the symmetric part of the product? It is

$$(G{\circ}K) \overset{\text{def.}}{=} \frac{1}{2}(G\check{\otimes}K + K\check{\otimes}G) \xrightarrow{\text{def.}} G_\mu \check{t}^{\mu\nu\lambda} K_\lambda \tag{14}$$

That is *non-associative* special Jordan algebra product. There are Jordan identities

$$\begin{aligned} G{\circ}K &= K{\circ}G \\ ((G{\circ}G){\circ}K){\circ}G &= (G{\circ}G){\circ}(K{\circ}G) \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Roughly speaking, the symmetric Jordan algebra generates generalized radial distortion. (Comments: Jordan algebra invented as a way to study the self-adjoint operators on a Hilbert space, which represent observables in Quantum mechanics. If you multiply two self-adjoint operators the result needn't be self-adjoint, but the above Jordan product is self-adjoint.)

Elements of non-associative Jordan algebra form a so-called Jordan triple system under the Jordan triple product

$$\{GKH\} \overset{\text{def.}}{=} G{\circ}(K{\circ}H) + (G{\circ}K){\circ}H - K{\circ}(G{\circ}H) \tag{16}$$

This triple product satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \{GKH\} &= \{HKG\} \\ \{GK\{HNB\}\} - \{HN\{GKB\}\} - \{G\{NHK\}B\} + \{\{HNG\}KB\} &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

which are the defining identities of a Jordan triple system.

# 3 Triality and vector representation of Dirac spinor

The dimension of spinor space, depends on both the *dimensions* of vector space and the *signature* of the metric. In 4-Lorentzian dimensions, the gamma matrices $\gamma^\mu$ are (at least) $4\times4$, and thus the number of complex spinor components must be four. According E. Cartan, spinors can be decomposed, under the group of rotations, into *two* irreducible parts. That is any complex Dirac spinor can be decomposed into the sum of two 'semi-spinors' $\Psi^\alpha = \Psi_1^\alpha + \Psi_2^\alpha$ (here $\alpha$ is a spinor's index). Each semi-spinor has 4 independent real components. Thus we have here **three** spaces each of four dimensions, that of Lorentzian vectors, that of semi-spinors of the first type, that of semi-spinors of the second type. Corresponding to them we introduce unit-basis $(k^\mu, j^\nu, u^\beta, v^\alpha)$, where $k^\mu$ and $j^\nu$ are the time-like and space-like unit-vectors. The basic unit Dirac semi-spinors $u^\alpha$ and $v^\alpha$ are defined such that

$$\begin{aligned} &k_\nu k^\nu = -j_\mu j^\mu = 1 &;\quad& k_\nu j^\nu = 0 \\ &\bar{u}u = -\bar{v}v = 1 &;\quad& \bar{u}v = 0 \\ &u = j_\mu i\gamma^\mu v &;\quad& v = j_\mu i\gamma^\mu u \\ &v = -k_\mu\gamma^\mu v &;\quad& u = k_\mu \gamma^\mu u \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

here $\bar{u} = u^\dagger\gamma^0$ represents Dirac conjugate of $u$. Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{u}\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu\gamma^\lambda u &= \bar{v}\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu\gamma^\lambda v &&= i\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}j_\rho + t^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}k_\rho \\ -\bar{u}\gamma^\lambda\gamma^\nu\gamma^\mu v &= \bar{v}\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu\gamma^\lambda u &&= \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}k_\rho - it^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}j_\rho \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

In the 'triality' formulation $(k^\mu, j^\nu, u^\beta, v^\alpha)$ are considered as neutral elements (the name "neutral elements" is due to Chevalley[8]), and existence of such neutral elements in Dirac theory is verified by the special case Eq. (27) below.

We are now in the position to study vector representation of Dirac spinors in 4-dimensional Minkowski space. The vector representation of a spinor $\Psi = \Psi_{(1)} + \Psi_{(2)}$ (referred to the unit-basis defined above) is realized in the following way

$$\begin{aligned} B^\mu &\overset{\text{def.}}{=} \tfrac{1}{2}(\bar{v}i\gamma^\mu\Psi - \bar{\Psi}i\gamma^\mu v) = \tfrac{1}{2}(\bar{v}i\gamma^\mu\Psi_{(1)} - \bar{\Psi}_{(1)}i\gamma^\mu v) \\ N^\mu &\overset{\text{def.}}{=} \tfrac{1}{2}(\bar{\Psi}i\gamma^\mu u - \bar{u}i\gamma^\mu\Psi) = \tfrac{1}{2}(\bar{\Psi}_{(2)}i\gamma^\mu u - \bar{u}i\gamma^\mu\Psi_{(2)}) \\ \Psi_{(1)} &= B^\mu\eta_{\mu\nu}i\gamma^\nu v \\ \Psi_{(2)} &= N^\mu\eta_{\mu\nu}i\gamma^\nu u \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Here $B^\mu$ and $N^\mu$ are real vectors, with 4-components. They define the vector representation of the half-spinors $\Psi_{(1)}$ and $\Psi_{(2)}$ respectively. We see that

$$\bar{\Psi}\Psi = \bar{\Psi}_{(1)}\Psi_{(1)} + \bar{\Psi}_{(2)}\Psi_{(2)} = -B^\mu\eta_{\mu\nu}B^\nu + N^\mu\eta_{\mu\nu}N^\nu \tag{21}$$

here $\bar{\Psi}$ represents Dirac conjugate of $\Psi$.

The symbol $\check{c}^{\mu\nu\lambda}$ (see Eq. (9)) which characterizes the algebra of biquaternion (and plays crucial role in our theory) can be expressed in terms of the unit spinors $u^{\alpha}$ , $v^{\alpha}$ and Dirac's gama matrix

$$\check{c}^{\mu\nu\lambda} = \frac{i}{2}\bar{v}(\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu}\gamma^{\lambda} + \gamma^{\lambda}\gamma^{\nu}\gamma^{\mu})u - \frac{1}{2}\bar{u}(\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu}\gamma^{\lambda} - \gamma^{\lambda}\gamma^{\nu}\gamma^{\mu})u \tag{22}$$

Formally, above expression can be considered as the mapping from $\gamma^{\nu} \longrightarrow \check{c}^{\mu\nu\lambda}$, or as the vector representation of Dirac's gamma matrix.

Sometime it is convenient to pass from the unit-basis $(k^{\mu}, j^{\nu}, u^{\beta}, v^{\alpha})$ to the null-basis $(k^{\mu}_{+}, k^{\nu}_{-}, r^{\alpha}, l^{\beta})$, where the normalized "right-handed" spinor $r$ and "left-handed" spinor $l$ (pure spinors) are determined as

$$\begin{array}{lllll} u = \frac{1}{2}(r+l) & , & v = \frac{i}{2}(r-l) & , & \bar{r}l = \bar{l}r = 2 \\ k^{\mu}_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}(k^{\mu} \pm j^{\mu}) & , & k^{\mu}_{\pm}\eta_{\mu\nu}k^{\nu}_{\pm} = 0 & , & k^{\mu}_{\pm}\eta_{\mu\nu}k^{\nu}_{\mp} = \frac{1}{2} \end{array} \tag{23}$$

It is easy to prove that

$$\begin{array}{lll} k_{\mu}\gamma^{\mu}r = k^{-}_{\mu}\gamma^{\mu}r = l & , & k^{+}_{\mu}\gamma^{\mu}r = 0 \\ k_{\mu}\gamma^{\mu}l = k^{+}_{\mu}\gamma^{\mu}l = r & , & k^{-}_{\mu}\gamma^{\mu}l = 0 \end{array} \tag{24}$$

Thus, expressions (20) can be rewritten in the more useful forms

$$\begin{aligned} G^{\mu} \overset{\text{def.}}{=} B^{\mu} + iN^{\mu} &= \tfrac{1}{2}(\bar{r}\gamma^{\mu}R - \bar{L}\gamma^{\mu}l) \\ R &= \tfrac{1}{2}G_{\mu}\gamma^{\mu}l \\ L &= \tfrac{-1}{2}G^{*}_{\mu}\gamma^{\mu}r \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

here $R$ and $L$ are Dirac's "right-handed" and a "left-handed" spinors respectively, $\Psi = R + L$. In this notations

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Psi}\Psi &= -\tfrac{1}{2}(G_{\nu}G^{\nu} + G^{*}_{\nu}G^{*\nu}) \neq G^{*}_{\nu}G^{\nu} \\ \bar{\Psi}\gamma_{5}\Psi &= -\tfrac{1}{2}(G_{\nu}G^{\nu} - G^{*}_{\nu}G^{*\nu}) \\ \bar{\Psi}\gamma^{\mu}\Psi &= G^{*}_{\nu}c^{\nu\mu\lambda}G_{\lambda} \\ \bar{\Psi}\gamma^{5}\gamma_{\mu}\Psi &= G^{*}_{\nu}\check{c}^{\nu\mu\lambda}G_{\lambda} \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

In order to understand our idea easily, it is convenient to work in a special coordinate system such that

$$\begin{aligned} [u^{\alpha}]^{T} &= [1,0,0,0] \\ [v^{\alpha}]^{T} &= [0,0,i,0] \\ k^{\mu} &= (1,0,0,0) \\ j^{\mu} &= (0,0,0,1) \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

(here we use the Dirac representation of gamma matrices). In the physical literature $k^\mu$ means a "time-direction" and $j^\mu$ is considered as a "z-direction", which is needed in the study spin-structure of physical particle. In this special coordinate system

$$\Psi^\alpha = \Psi^\alpha_{(1)} + \Psi^\alpha_{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} B^3 \\ B^1 + iB^2 \\ B^0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} iN^0 \\ 0 \\ iN^3 \\ -N^2 + iN^1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{28}$$

Now let $A^\mu \in M^{1+3}$ be a real vector in Minkowski space, $\Psi_{(1)} \in S_1$ and $\Psi_{(2)} \in S_2$ are two half-spinors referred to the unit-basis defined above. The special cubic-form is defined as

$$A_\mu(\bar{\Psi}_{(1)}\gamma^\mu\Psi_{(2)} + \bar{\Psi}_{(2)}\gamma^\mu\Psi_{(1)}) = 2A_\mu(\epsilon^{\nu\mu\lambda\sigma}k_\sigma)B_\nu N_\lambda \tag{29}$$

One realizes that there exists an automorphism $\Sigma_3$ of order 3 in $M^{1+3} \times S_1 \times S_2$, which leaves the trilinear-form (29) invariant. $\Sigma_3$ maps $M$ onto $S_1$, $S_1$ onto $S_2$, and $S_2$ onto $M$. (Or equivalently $A \to B \to N \to A$.) This result can be considered as generalization of Cartan's principle of triality[4,8].

Remarks:

(1) More general cubic-form is

$$C = A_\mu\bar{\Psi}\gamma^\mu\Psi = A_\mu t^{\nu\mu\lambda}(B_\nu B_\lambda + N_\nu N_\lambda) + 2A_\mu\epsilon^{\nu\mu\lambda}B_\nu N_\lambda\,. \tag{30}$$

Let operators $q_1$ and $q_2$ are elements of Artin braid group

$$\begin{aligned} q_1\Phi(A,B,N) &\overset{\text{def.}}{=} \Phi(-B,A,N) \quad , \quad q_1^{-1}\Phi(A,B,N) \overset{\text{def.}}{=} \Phi(B,-A,N) \\ q_2\Phi(A,B,N) &\overset{\text{def.}}{=} \Phi(A,-N,B) \quad , \quad q_2^{-1}\Phi(A,B,N) \overset{\text{def.}}{=} \Phi(A,N,-B)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

In general $q_1q_1 \neq I$ (is not identical), $(q_1)^4 = (q_2)^4 = I$ and $q_1q_2q_1 = q_2q_1q_2$. We can prove that for cubic-form (30)

$$q_1q_2q_2q_1C(A,B,N) = C(A,B,N) \tag{32}$$

That is so-called "Dirac's game" for spinor rotations.

(2) Let $A^\mu$, $B^\nu$, $C^\lambda$, $D^\rho$ are vectors. We can prove that under permutations

$$\begin{aligned} t_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}A^\mu B^\nu C^\lambda D^\rho &= \quad t_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}B^\mu C^\nu D^\lambda A^\rho \\ \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}A^\mu B^\nu C^\lambda D^\rho &= -\epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}B^\mu C^\nu D^\lambda A^\rho \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

# 4 Vector representation of Dirac equation.

The most interesting for physicists is: by passing from ordinary spinor representation to the vector representation, one can express Dirac Lagrangian in the Bosonic form

$$\begin{aligned} &\tfrac{1}{2}[\bar{\Psi}i\gamma^\mu(\partial_\mu - ieA_\mu)\Psi - ((\partial_\mu + ieA_\mu)\bar{\Psi})i\gamma^\mu\Psi] - m\bar{\Psi}\Psi \\ = \;&\tfrac{1}{2}[(\overset{e}{\nabla}_\mu G_\nu)^* i\check{c}^{\nu\mu\lambda}G_\lambda - G^*_\nu i\check{c}^{\nu\mu\lambda}\overset{e}{\nabla}_\mu G_\lambda + m(G^*_\nu G^{*\nu} + G_\nu G^\nu)] \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

here

$$\overset{e}{\nabla}_\mu G_\lambda \overset{\text{def.}}{=} \partial_\mu G_\lambda - ieA_\mu \eta_{\lambda\rho} c_5^{\rho\sigma} G_\sigma \tag{35}$$

and $A_\mu$ is the electromagnetic field. The symbol $\check{c}^{\nu\mu\lambda} = \check{t}^{\nu\mu\lambda} - i\check{\epsilon}^{\nu\mu\lambda}$ characterize the algebra of biquaternion, it contains antisymmetric *Lie* and symmetric *Jourdan* structure (see Eqs.(13)(14)).

The corresponding massive Dirac equation in the vector-representation is

$$i\check{c}^{\mu\nu\lambda} \overset{e}{\nabla}_\nu G_\lambda - mG^{\mu *} = 0. \tag{36}$$

Or equivalently, takes following self-dual form

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\mu\nu} &= \tfrac{i}{2}\, \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} G^{\lambda\rho} \\ \partial_\mu G^\mu &- imj_\mu G^{\mu *} = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

here for simplicity we take $A_\mu = 0$, and

$$G_{\mu\nu} \overset{def.}{=} \partial_\mu G_\nu - \partial_\nu G_\mu + imj_\mu G^*_\nu - imj_\nu G^*_\mu \tag{38}$$

Sometimes it is convenient to work with 'chiral' biquaternions. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}^\mu &\overset{\text{def.}}{=} \tfrac{1}{2}(\eta^{\mu\nu} + c_5^{\mu\nu}) G_\nu \\ \mathcal{L}^\mu &\overset{\text{def.}}{=} \tfrac{1}{2}(\eta^{\mu\nu} - c_5^{\mu\nu}) G_\nu \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

Then the equation of motion eq.(36) can be rewritten in the following form

$$\begin{aligned} \check{c}^{\mu\nu\lambda} i(\partial_\nu - ieA_\nu)\mathcal{R}^\lambda - m\mathcal{L}^{*\mu} &= 0 \\ \check{c}^{\mu\nu\lambda} i(\partial_\nu + ieA_\nu)\mathcal{L}^\lambda - m\mathcal{R}^{*\mu} &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

The Lagrangian (34) is invariant under $U(1)$ gauge transformations

$$\tilde{\Psi} = e^{i\alpha}\Psi = (\cos\alpha + i\sin\alpha)\Psi \quad , \quad \tilde{A}_\mu = A_\mu + \partial_\mu \alpha \tag{41}$$

In the vector representation it is equivalent to

$$\tilde{G}^\mu = (\eta^{\mu\nu}\cos\alpha + ic_5^{\mu\nu}\sin\alpha) G_\nu = (\exp i\alpha c_5)^{\mu\nu} G_\nu \tag{42}$$

It looks like a chiral transformation for $G^\mu$, here $(c_5^{\mu\sigma}\eta_{\sigma\rho}c_5^{\rho\nu}) = \eta^{\mu\nu}$, and from which the De Moivre theorem is deduced $(\cos\alpha + ic_5\sin\alpha)^n = (\cos n\alpha + ic_5 \sin n\alpha)$.

We know that the massless Dirac Lagrangian is invariant under the chiral transformation

$$\Psi \to e^{ia\gamma_5}\Psi \quad ; \quad \bar{\Psi} \to \bar{\Psi} e^{ia\gamma_5} \tag{43}$$

In the vector representation it is equivalent to

$$G_\mu \to e^{ia} G_\mu \tag{44}$$

It means that a chiral transformation for $\Psi$ is equivalent to a $U(1)$ transformation for $G_\mu$. Therefore we must distinguish between the plane wave solutions for $\Psi$ and for $G^\mu$.

The relationship between the *first* order Dirac equation and the *first* order Maxwell equation is a subject of interest of investigators since the time of creation of quantum mechanics. We will prove that only 3D-vector part of biquaternion has analogy with Maxwell's field strength $\vec{E} + i\vec{H}$.

In electromagnetic theory it is convenient to use the real antisymmetric field-strength tensor $F_{[\mu\nu]}$ instead of $\vec{D} = \vec{E} + i\vec{H}$. The antisymmetric property of $F_{[\mu\nu]}$ implies that $F_{[\mu\nu]}$ including only six independent real components and they have one to one correspondence with $\vec{E}$ and $\vec{H}$, such that (real constant) $Re(\vec{D}\cdot\vec{D}) = (\vec{E}\cdot\vec{E} - \vec{H}\cdot\vec{H}) = \frac{-1}{2}F_{[\mu\nu]}F^{[\mu\nu]}$.

Similarly, in our theory we introduce complex tensor $H_{\mu\nu}$ which satisfies

$$\left(\frac{-1}{2}c^{\mu\lambda\nu\rho}\right)H_{\lambda\rho} = H^{\mu\nu} \tag{45}$$

here $c^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}$ is defined by (5). The above algebraic equation can be considered as a "**self duality**" condition for complex tensor $H_{\mu\nu}$ because of

$$\left(\frac{-1}{2}c^{\mu\lambda\nu\rho}\right)\left(\frac{-1}{2}c^{\lambda\sigma\rho\delta}\right) = g^{\mu\sigma}g^{\nu\delta} \tag{46}$$

The "self duality" condition implies that $H_{\mu\nu}$ includes only *four* independent *complex* components and there is one to one correspondence with complex $G^\mu$. That is

$$\begin{array}{rcl} H^{*\nu\lambda} & \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} & \check{c}^{\lambda\nu\mu}G_\mu \\ H^{\nu\lambda} & = & G^*_\mu\check{c}^{\mu\nu\lambda} \\ G^{*\mu} & = & \frac{1}{2}\check{c}^{\nu\mu\lambda}H_{\nu\lambda} = -H^{\mu\nu}j_\nu \\ G^\mu & = & \frac{1}{2}H^*_{\nu\lambda}\check{c}^{\lambda\mu\nu} = -H^{*\mu\nu}j_\nu \end{array} \tag{47}$$

and $(G_\mu G^\mu)^* = \frac{1}{4}(H_{\mu\nu}H^{\mu\nu})$. Notice that the self-dual tensor $H_{\mu\nu}$ containing both (anti-symmetric) Lie and (symmetric) *Jourdan* structure. In these notations the (uncharged) Dirac equation (36) can be rewritten in the following form

$$\partial_\nu H^{\nu\mu} - \frac{im}{2}(\check{c}^{\nu\mu\lambda}H_{\nu\lambda})^* = 0 \tag{48}$$

We know that $G_\mu$ can be decomposed into the sum of two parts (see Eq. (12)):

$$G^\mu = p_+^{\mu\nu}G_\nu + p_-^{\mu\nu}G_\nu\mathcal{G}^\mu + (-j^\mu\phi) \tag{49}$$

Here $\mathcal{G}^\mu$ is the 3d-vector part of biquaternion and $\phi = \phi_1 + i\phi_2$ is the (complex) scalar. Corresponding to them, $H_{\mu\nu}$ can be decomposed into the sum of symmetric and antisymmetric parts $H_{\mu\nu} = H_{(\mu\nu)} + H_{[\mu\nu]}$. Self-duality condition (45) implies that

$$\begin{array}{rclcl} H^{(\mu\nu)} & = & (\frac{1}{4}H^{(\lambda\rho)}\eta_{\lambda\rho})\eta^{\mu\nu} & = & \phi^*\eta^{\mu\nu} \\ H^{[\mu\nu]} & = & \frac{-i}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}H_{[\lambda\rho]} & = & \mathcal{G}^*_\lambda\check{c}^{\lambda\mu\nu} \end{array} \tag{50}$$

The last relation means that 3d complex vector $\mathcal{G}_\lambda$ corresponds to anti-self-dual antisymmetric $H^{[\mu\nu]}$ which includes *three* independent *complex* components. Without loss of generality, anti-self-dual $H_{[\mu\nu]}$ can be expressed in terms of real antisymmetric $h^{[\mu\nu]}$ or $F_{[\mu\nu]}$ in the following way

$$\begin{aligned} H^{[\mu\nu]} &= h^{[\mu\nu]} - \tfrac{i}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\sigma\rho}h_{[\sigma\rho]} \\ h^{[\mu\nu]} &= -\tfrac{1}{2}\left(F^{[\mu\nu]} + \tfrac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\sigma\rho}F_{[\sigma\rho]}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

In this notation, uncharged Dirac equation takes the compact form

$$\begin{aligned} \overset{-m}{\nabla}_\nu F_{[\mu\nu]} &= -\overset{m}{\nabla}_\mu (\phi_1 - \phi_2) \\ \tfrac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}\overset{m}{\nabla}_\nu F_{[\lambda\rho]} &= -\overset{-m}{\nabla}_\mu (\phi_1 + \phi_2) \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

here $\overset{m}{\nabla}_\nu = (\partial_\nu + mj_\nu)$ and $\overset{-m}{\nabla}_\nu \overset{m}{\nabla}^\nu = (\partial\partial + m^2)$ . It is interesting to notice the similarity between these equations and the Maxwell equations which include "electric" and "magnetic" currents (and making no reference to the vector potential).

The most interesting special case is to take $(\phi_1 + \phi_2) = 0$. In this special case the last equation in (52) looks like Bianchi identity.

In fact, Dirac equation (36) and Dirac Lagrangian (34) are invariant under U(1) gauge transformations (41) and (42), where $\alpha(x)$ depends on spacetime position. All dependence on $\alpha(x)$ drops out of Lagrangian, so that we can simply replace $G^\mu$ and $A_\mu$ everywhere with $\tilde{G}^\mu$ and $\tilde{A}_\mu$. (In the vacuum, i.e., $\vec{E} = \vec{H} = 0$, a corresponding potential $A_\mu = \partial_\mu\theta$ is a pure gauge, here $\theta(x)$ is *arbitrary* function of $x$). This is a choice of gauge, fixed by imposing the special condition on $\tilde{G}^\mu$ rather than by imposing condition on the gauge field themselves. Specially, it is possible choice the gauge such that $(\tilde{\phi}_1 + \tilde{\phi}_2) = 0$ (spontaneous symmetry breaking). In this gauge (and in the absence of electromagnetic potential, i.e., $\tilde{A}_\mu = \partial_\mu\tilde{\theta} = 0$) the second equation in Eq. (52) can be considered as a Bianchi identity. And it is precisely the condition enabling one to write

$$F_{[\mu\nu]} = \partial_\mu B_\nu - \partial_\nu B_\mu + mj_\mu B_\nu - mj_\nu B_\mu \tag{53}$$

here $B_\mu$ is a gauge potential (real), and the field strength $F_{[\mu\nu]}$ is invariant under the following "gauge translations"

$$\tilde{B}_\mu = B_\mu + \partial_\mu\beta + mj_\mu\beta \tag{54}$$

here $\beta(x)$ is a gauge freedom.

# 5 "Parent action" approach

The "parent (or master) action" approach was proposed by Deser and Jackiw[2] to establish, *at the level of the Lagrangian* instead of equation of motion, the equivalence (by a Legender transformation) or the so-called duality between the first order 3D massive self-dual vector field and two order 3D topologically massive gauge field[3]. We propose here an alternative way to generalize it to Dirac Lagrangian. The reason why such cases are important and interesting is the fact that duality typically exchanges the coupling regimes $g \longrightarrow 1/g$, then the weak coupling regime in one model is the strong regime in the other and *vice versa*. Knowing the explicit relation thus allows perturbative calculations in the variables of the original theory both in the strong and weak coupling regimes. Moreover, the above approach gave us the possibility to define the *potential* (gauge) field to corresponding vector field and making no reference to the so-called "Bianchi identity".

Let $G_\mu$ is the Dirac field and its dual (potential) field is denoted by $H_\mu$. Consider the so-called parent action $\int(d^4x)S_{GH}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{GH} = \quad & \left(G_\nu + \tfrac{1}{2}H_\nu\right)^* i\check{c}^{\nu\mu\lambda}\left(\overset{ea}{\nabla}_\mu H_\lambda\right) \quad + \tfrac{m-a}{2}G_\nu G^\nu \\
& -\left(\overset{ea}{\nabla}_\mu H_\nu\right)^* i\check{c}^{\nu\mu\lambda}\left(G_\lambda + \tfrac{1}{2}H_\lambda\right) \quad + \tfrac{m-a}{2}G^*_\nu G^{*\nu} + \partial_\mu(Z^\mu_0)
\end{aligned}
\tag{55}
$$

here $\overset{ea}{\nabla}_\mu H_\lambda \overset{\text{def.}}{=} (\partial_\mu H_\lambda - ieA_\mu \eta_{\lambda\rho} c_5^{\rho\sigma} H_\sigma - iaj_\lambda H^*_\mu)$ and "$a$" is a real constant ($a \neq m$). Varying $S_{GH}$ with respect to $H_\mu$, gives directly

$$
\check{c}^{\nu\mu\lambda} \overset{ea}{\nabla}_\mu G_\lambda = -\check{c}^{\nu\mu\lambda} \overset{ea}{\nabla}_\mu H_\lambda \tag{56}
$$

Plugging this back into $S_{GH}$, eliminating $H_\mu$ from it, gives Dirac Lagrangian (34) which is linear in derivatives

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_G = \quad & \tfrac{1}{2}[(\overset{e}{\nabla}_\mu G_\nu)^* i\check{c}^{\nu\mu\lambda} G_\lambda - G^*_\nu i\check{c}^{\nu\mu\lambda} \overset{e}{\nabla}_\mu G_\lambda + m(G^*_\nu G^{*\nu} + G_\nu G^\nu)] \\
& + \partial_\mu[Z^\mu_0 - \tfrac{1}{2}(H^*_\nu i\check{c}^{\nu\mu\lambda} G_\lambda - G^*_\nu i\check{c}^{\nu\mu\lambda} H_\lambda)]
\end{aligned}
\tag{57}
$$

The additional last term is the total divergence and does not contribute to equation of motion. We choice $Z^\mu_0$ such that the last surface term is equal to zero. We want to notice that elimination of $H^\mu$ from $S_{GH}$ is not so easy here; the solution of equation (56) for $H^\mu$ is non-trivial. However, we have not attempted to an explicit solution of Eq. (56) here.

Alternatively we may first vary $G_\nu$ in the parent action $\int(d^4x)S_{GH}$, which yields

$$
(m-a)G^\lambda = (\overset{ea}{\nabla}_\mu H_\nu)^* i\check{c}^{\nu\mu\lambda} \tag{58}
$$

(In fact, this expression can be deduced from (36) and (56)). Plugging this back into $S_{GH}$, eliminating $G_\nu$ from it, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_H = \quad & \tfrac{1}{2(m-a)}(\check{c}^{\nu\mu\lambda} \overset{ea}{\nabla}_\mu H_\lambda)(\check{c}^{\nu\rho\delta} \overset{ea}{\nabla}_\rho H_\delta) + \tfrac{1}{2}H^*_\nu i\check{c}^{\nu\mu\lambda}(\overset{ea}{\nabla}_\mu H_\lambda) \\
& + [\cdots]^* + \partial_\mu(Z^\mu_0)
\end{aligned}
\tag{59}
$$

which is quadratic in derivative. Here the term $[\cdots]^*$ denotes complex conjugated part of the former parts. Thus, from the parent action $\int(d^4x)S_{GH}$ we have shown that the Dirac action $\int(d^4x)S_G$ (i.e. (57)) and new action $\int(d^4x)S_H$ (i.e. (59)) is dual to each other; the two actions represent the same physics (at least classically), but the physical description is given using different fields.

The corresponding equation of motion for new field $H^\mu$ is

$$\partial\partial H^\lambda - (m+a)\partial_\mu H^*_\nu i\check{c}^{\nu\mu\lambda} - maH^\lambda = 0 \tag{60}$$

(here for simplicity we take $A_\mu = 0$.) Clearly it is identical with Dirac equation (36) when equation (58) is used.

If $a + m = 0$ then equation (60) is nothing but the Klein–Gordon equation. But here we are interested only in the following $a = e = 0$ case, i.e.

$$S_H = \frac{-b^2}{2m}[\partial_\mu H_\nu \partial^\mu H^\nu - mH^*_\nu i\check{c}^{\nu\mu\lambda}\partial_\mu H_\lambda - \partial_\mu(H^\mu \partial H)] + [\cdots]^* \tag{61}$$

$$\partial\partial H^\lambda - m\partial_\mu H^*_\nu i\check{c}^{\nu\mu\lambda} = 0 \tag{62}$$

Here we have changed the notation from (59), with the replacement $H^\mu \to bH^\mu$ ($b$ is dimensional real constant). The novel mass term in (61) is the cubic-form (three-form) and bilinear in the mass $m$ and in the derivative. This kind of a mass term one can find in the theory of topologically massive gauge field, and it was analyzed completely in Ref. [3]. At the quantum level, it suggests a new cure for the infrared problem, without disturbing the ultraviolet or gauge aspects. The equation of motion (62) for new field $H^\mu$ is identical with (uncharged) Dirac equation (36) when

$$mG^\lambda = (\partial_\mu H^*_\nu) i\check{c}^{\nu\mu\lambda} \tag{63}$$

is used.

In classical dynamics, the force $\vec{F}$ appears explicitly in the equation of motion of particle $m\vec{a} = \vec{F}$. It is true that a potential $V$ can be introduced $\vec{F} = -\nabla V$, but all potentials differing from $V$ by a *constant* give the same $\vec{F}$, so that $V$ is not uniquely determined by $\vec{F}$. Similarly, in classical electromagnetic theory the basic laws (Maxwell's equation, together with Lorentz's force expression) are all explicitly expressed in terms of the fields strength $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{H}$. One may introduce the potentials $(\mathbf{A}, \phi)$ such that $\mathbf{E} = -\nabla\phi - \frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial\mathbf{A}}{\partial t}$ and $\mathbf{H} = -\nabla\times\mathbf{A}$. But under the gauge transformation to new potentials $\tilde{\mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{A} + \nabla\chi$ and $\tilde{\phi} = \phi - \frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial\chi}{\partial t}$ where $\partial\partial\chi = 0$, the fields $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{H}$ and Maxwell equation together with the Lorentz gauge condition remain invariant. The following theorem due to Bateman (1904): the *general* real-analytic solution of the wave equation $\partial\partial\chi = 0$ in flat space-time is

$$\chi = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \Theta(\zeta, \xi, \theta) d\theta \tag{64}$$

here

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta &= (v^1_\mu \cos\theta + v^2_\mu \sin\theta + iv^3_\mu)x^\mu = \zeta_\mu x^\mu \\ \xi &= (v^0_\mu \cos\theta + v^2_\mu + iv^3_\mu \sin\theta)x^\mu = \xi_\mu x^\mu \end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

and $v_\mu^a$ is vierbein, $v_\mu^a \eta^{\mu\nu} v_\nu^b = \eta^{ab}$, without loss of generality we take $v_\mu^a = \delta_\mu^a$. $\Theta(\zeta, \xi, \theta)$ is an *arbitrary* function of *three* variables, complex-analytic in the first two[15][16]. In fact the function $\Theta$ is, in effect, a function on (projective) twistor space, and twistor theory provides a way of understanding and generalizing this solution formula[16]. We find that the field equation for "gauge freedom" $\partial\partial\chi(x, y, z, t) = 0$ has *disappeared* in passing to "Bateman function" $\Theta(\zeta, \xi, \theta)$ which does not subjected to any different equation.

In our theory, $G^\mu$ appears explicitly in the equation of motion of Dirac's particle. Thus $G^\mu$ can be considered as the field strength while $H_\nu$ in expression (63) can be considered as the corresponding potential. Potential is not uniquely determined by field strength. In general, let $H^\mu \rightarrow H^\mu + \delta H^\mu$ denotes the 'gauge transformation", then only when $\delta H^\mu$ satisfies

$$\check{c}^{\nu\mu\lambda} \partial_\mu (\delta H_\lambda) = 0 \tag{66}$$

the expression (63) and the equation of motion Eq. (62) are unchanged. Notice, the mass term in Lagrangian (61) is changed under the above transformations! However it changes only by a total derivative. Modification of a Lagrangian by a total derivative does not affect equations of motion; that is why equations of motion are "gauge" covariant even though $S_H$ is not "gauge" invariant. And this implies the topological property of the mass term. Moreover the equation of motion (62) yields

$$\partial_\mu J^\mu = \partial_\mu [i H_\nu c_5^{\nu\lambda} \partial_\mu H_\lambda - i(H_\nu c_5^{\nu\lambda} \partial_\mu H_\lambda)^* + m H_\nu^* c^{\nu\mu\lambda} H_\lambda] = 0 \tag{67}$$

In fact, the above $J^\mu$ can be considered as the Noether's current, it is not invariant object, but it is conserved in the ordinary sense.

The general solution of Eq. (66) for $(\delta H_\mu)$ is non-trivial, and we have not attempted to an explicit general solution of Eq. (66) here. The fundamental reason for non-trivial property of "gauge freedom" is that $\check{c}^{\nu\mu\lambda}$ containing both (antisymmetric) Lie and (symmetric) *Jourdan* structure (see Eq. (14)). In equation $\check{c}^{\nu\mu\lambda} \partial_\mu (\delta H_\lambda) = 0$ the "gauge freedom" $\delta H_\lambda$ can be considered as a "massless particle" in free motion, or as a Goldston particle. In fact $\delta H_\lambda$ is not physical particle, the above consideration is only for visualization purposes. The study of "gauge freedom" is equivalent to study of physically meaning vacuum, and in our opinion, the physically meaning pure gauge vacuum must be not to mention the coding of any specific field equation.

In relativistic field theories one deals with hyperbolic equations in space-time: for example, the wave equation, the Dirac equation, Maxwell's equation, the Yang–Mills equation, Einstein's equation, and so forth. What all these equations have in common is that the general solution of the equation depends on one or more **arbitrary** functions of **three** variables and which *do not subject to any different equation.* For example, in the hyperbolic case, the specification of initial data on a (three-dimensional) space-like hypersurface uniquely determines a solution throughout space-time. Thus the above fields may be regarded as those defined on some *three*-parameter initial sets (Cauchy hypersurface) and thence extended over the rest of the space by the field equation. From the physical point of view, it seems likely that the reason why this work is closely tied up with the fact that the wave equation in *flat* space-time satisfies **Huygens Principle** (HP). (Some possible formulations of "Huygens Principle" one can finds in Ref. [16].)

Consider the transformation $H_\mu \to H_\mu + \delta H_\mu = H_\mu + \partial_\mu\Theta$. Here $\Theta(\zeta,\xi,\theta)$ is an arbitrary function of three variables (defined as in Eqs. (64)and (65)), which characterizes the gauge freedom in the potential $H_\mu$ and does *not subject to any differential equation*. Plugging this into Eq. (66), we get

$$\check{c}^{\nu\mu\lambda}\partial_\mu(\delta H_\lambda) = \check{c}^{\nu\mu\lambda}\partial_\mu(\partial_\lambda\Theta) = j^\nu\partial\partial\Theta = 0 \tag{68}$$

and this means that under transformation $H_\mu \to H_\mu + \sum_\theta \partial_\mu\Theta$ , the equation of motion Eq. (62) is unchanged, while the Lagrangian (61) is changed only by a total derivative. It is important to notice that two *null* complex vectors (in Eq. (65)) $\zeta_\mu = \partial_\mu\zeta$ and $\xi_\mu = \partial_\nu\xi$ are orthogonal (i.e. $\zeta_\mu\zeta^\mu = \xi_\nu\xi^\nu = \zeta_\mu\xi^\mu = 0$) and $(\zeta^\mu\xi^\nu - \xi^\mu\zeta^\nu) = \frac{i}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}(\zeta_\lambda\xi_\rho - \xi_\lambda\zeta_\rho)$ is a *self-dual* bivector. This means that the gauge freedom $\delta H_\mu$ is localized only on self-dual totally null projective $\alpha$-plane in complexified Minkowski space, whose tangent vectors are $\zeta_\mu$ and $\xi_\mu$. We find that complicated differential equation for "gauge freedom" (i.e. Eq. (66)) has *disappeared* in passing to generalized "Bateman function" on a **self-dual totally null** projective $\alpha$-plane.

In general, from mathematical point of view the $\delta H_\mu$ in Eq. (66) is not necessarily $\delta H_\mu = \partial_\mu\Theta$. In other words, the pure-gauge vacuum is not necessarily $H_\mu = \partial_\mu\Theta$, because $H_\mu$ is not a connection of the common curvature tensor. For better understanding the situation, it is convenient to use self-dual tensor $H_{\mu\nu}$ which was introduced in the previous section and defined by Eqs (45), (47), and (63),

$$mG^{*\mu} = \frac{1}{2}\check{c}^{\nu\mu\lambda}H_{\nu\lambda} = -H^{\mu\nu}j_\nu \tag{69}$$

$$\begin{aligned} H^{\mu\nu} &\overset{\text{def.}}{=} ic^{\mu\lambda\rho\nu}\partial_\lambda H_\rho \\ &= i[\eta^{\mu\nu}\partial H + (\partial^\mu H^\nu - \partial^\nu H^\mu) \\ &\quad -\tfrac{i}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}(\partial_\lambda H_\rho - \partial_\rho H_\lambda)] \end{aligned} \tag{70}$$

The self-duality condition (45) implies that $H_{\mu\nu}$ includes only *four* independent *complex* components and there is one to one correspondence with complex $G^\mu$ (see Eq. (47)). The self-dual tensor $H_{\mu\nu}$ (which is defined by Eq. (70)) can be considered as a "natural" generalization of a curvature tensor of a common gauge field. In the above notations the Lagrangian (61) and the equation of motion (62) take the following form

$$S_H = \frac{b^2}{8m}(H_{\mu\nu}H^{\mu\nu} - 2mH^*_\mu\check{c}^{\nu\mu\lambda}H_{\nu\lambda}) - \frac{ib^2}{2m}\partial_\mu(H^{\mu\nu}H_\nu) + [\cdots]^* \tag{71}$$

$$\partial_\nu H^{\nu\mu} + imH^{*\mu\nu}j_\nu = 0 \tag{72}$$

The above action is dual equivalent to (uncharged) Dirac's action (34) and the equation of motion (72) is identical with Dirac's equation (36) when equation (69) is used. Notice again, the novel self-dual $H^{\mu\nu}$ is not antisymmetric, it contains not only (antisymmetric) Lie but also (symmetric) *Jourdan* structures. Thus there is no so-called "Bianchi identity" for $H^{\mu\nu}$.

The tensor $H^{\mu\nu}$ must be invariant object. The study of "gauge freedom" is equivalent to study of physically meaning vacuum. Physical vacuum of Dirac field must be denoted by $G^{\mu} = 0$ and it is equivalent to $H^{\mu\nu} = 0$. From mathematical point of view, the pure gauge vacuum $\delta H_{\mu}$ must satisfies Eq. (66), and it is equivalent to the self-dual equation $c^{\mu\lambda\rho\nu}\partial_{\lambda}(\delta H_{\rho}) = 0$ (not necessary to Eq. (68)). This implies the self-dual property of the pure gauge vacuum.

In my opinion, the self-dual totally null projective $\alpha$-plane is a good candidate for the physically meaning pure gauge vacuum. For this

$$\delta H^{\mu} = \sum_{\theta}(\zeta_{\lambda} Q_{\nu} + \xi_{\lambda} W_{\nu})\check{c}^{\nu\lambda\mu} \tag{73}$$

where the components of the vectors $Q_{\nu}(\zeta, \xi, \theta)$ and $W_{\nu}(\zeta, \xi, \theta)$ are **arbitrary** functions of **three** variables ("Bateman functions") and $\zeta$, $\xi$ are determined by Eq. (65) (in general $\theta$ is not necessarily real). So again one finds that the different equation $c^{\mu\lambda\rho\nu}\partial_{\lambda}(\delta H_{\rho}) = 0$ disappears when one passes to the self-dual totally null (complex) $\alpha$-plane.

I claim that the pure gauge freedom (73), which we study here satisfies '*Huygens Principle*', although I shall neither prove this claim here nor define exactly what I mean by it. In fact, there is some different formulations of '*Huygens Principle*'[16] and up to now there is no exact mathematical definition for 'HP equations'. From physical point of view, they looks like equation of non-physical 'Goldston particles'. The pure gauge freedom which satisfies 'HP equation' must propagates 'cleanly' without back-scattering. Moreover 'HP equations' must have global consequences: essentially they must imply that no global scattering occurs, that the in-data at past infinity equals the out-data at future infinity.

Further speculations : Since K. Wilson's seminal work on lattice gauge theory in 1974, the regularization of quantum field theory by a space-time lattice has become one of the basic method for non-perturbative studies in the field theory. The result obtained with lattice gauge calculations so far substantially improved our knowledge of QCD. An example of this success can be seen in the study of quark confinement at strong couplings. Despite these successes, the fundamental unsolved problems with spinor-fermion doubling and chiral symmetry, show that we still have an acute need for new ideas.

The basic reason for fermion doubling is that the common Dirac's equation for fermion is of **first order**! However in our theory after dualization, Dirac's equation become **two orders** and as we know there is no "doubling problem" in two-order Lagrangian. Secondly, according to Wilson we discretize space into a simple lattice and naturally associate the vector gauge field with directed lattice **link**, antisymmetric tensors with oriented areas, etc. And geometry scalars and spinors are associated with lattice **sites**. In contrast to Wilson's regularization, in our theory a vector representation of spinor gives us the possibility to associate vector-fermion with the lattice **link**. These ideas have opened up new and exciting possibilities towards to avoid the problems with lattice fermion.

# 6 Topologically massive gauge field

Field theorists have been greatly enthused over the Chern–Simons term $I_{CS}$ in resent years. And it is known that only in three dimensions, a topological term $I_{CS}$, (which must include 3D Levi–Civita symbol) is bilinear and can produce a mass[2] of the gauge field. The study of such gauge theory has particular interest because the Chern–Simons characteristics supply a gauge-invariant mass-generating mechanism. The Chern–Simons term has been widely used to model various physical processes in (2+1)-dimensional space-time, that is, phenomena confined to motion on a plane, like Holl effect.

The above (2+1)-dimensional space-time $M^{2+1}$ (with Chern–Simons term on it) can be considered as a "*spin manifold*", by which we mean a three-manifold with a chosen spin structure. The three-dimensional Chern–Simons mass term can be promoting to four-dimensions[18], and this entails choosing an external fixed embedding 4-dimensional vector. In our theory $j_\mu$ can be considered as such an embedding vector. On the analogy of decomposition (12) and (49), the space-time $M^{3+1}$ can be split into two parts, $p_+^{\mu\nu} x_\nu \in M^{2+1}$ and $p_-^{\mu\nu} x_\nu \in M^1$, where the former part is an oriented (2+1)-dimensional "*spin manifold*" which is needed here. (Comments: From abstract point of view the above decomposition depends on the choice of "neutral element" $j_\mu$. However, in our theory the unit vector $j^\mu$ is offshoot from $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ and $\check{c}^{\nu\mu\lambda}$. In other words the algebra of biquaternion characterized by $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ and $\check{c}^{\nu\mu\lambda}$ only, they uniquely define the unit element $j^\lambda = \frac{1}{4}\eta_{\mu\nu}\check{c}^{\mu\nu\lambda}$.)

Now let us dimensionally reduce dual models discussed in the previous section. We will apply the dualization rule only to the vector part of $G$, i.e. $\mathcal{G}^\mu = p_+^{\mu\nu} G_\nu$. In other words, we consider the duality between $(\mathcal{G}^\mu;\phi)$ and $(\mathcal{B}^\mu;\phi)$, where two models have the same $\phi$. The 3D complex vector $\mathcal{B}^\mu$ (which dual to $\mathcal{G}^\mu$) is defined as $\mathcal{B}^\mu \overset{\text{def.}}{=} p_+^{\mu\nu} B_\nu$, where $B_\nu$ is a gauge potential (complex). The corresponding field strength tensor

$$B_{\mu\nu} \overset{\text{def.}}{=} \partial_\mu B_\nu - \partial_\nu B_\mu + iaj_\mu B_\nu^* - iaj_\nu B_\mu^* \qquad (74)$$

and "Bianchi identity" is

$$\overset{a}{\nabla}_\mu B_{\nu\lambda} + \overset{a}{\nabla}_\lambda B_{\mu\nu} + \overset{a}{\nabla}_\nu B_{\lambda\mu} = 0 \qquad (75)$$

In this section $\overset{a}{\nabla}_\mu \overset{\text{def.}}{=} (\partial_\mu + iaj_\mu C)$ here $C$ is the operator of complex conjugation, $a \neq m$ is a real constant and $\overset{-a}{\nabla}_\mu \overset{a}{\nabla}^\mu = (\partial\partial + a^2)$.

$B_{\mu\nu}$ is unchanged under the following "gauge transformations" $\tilde{B}_\mu = B_\mu + \partial_\mu \beta + iaj_\mu \beta^*$. We can do gauge transformation for $B_\mu$, such that $\tilde{B}_\mu j^\mu = 0$. In this "axial gauge" $\tilde{B}_\mu \overset{\text{def.}}{=} \mathcal{B}_\mu$, and the corresponding field strength (which is needed here)

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} \overset{\text{def.}}{=} \partial_\mu \mathcal{B}_\nu - \partial_\nu \mathcal{B}_\mu + iaj_\mu \mathcal{B}^*_\nu - iaj_\nu \mathcal{B}^*_\mu \tag{76}$$

where $\mathcal{B}_\mu j^\mu = 0$. Up to "axial gauge" $\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}$ is equivalent to $B_{\mu\nu}$.

The so-called parent action $\int (d^4x) S_{\mathcal{G}\phi\mathcal{B}}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\mathcal{G}\phi\mathcal{B}} = \; & \tfrac{1}{2}\left(\mathcal{G}^*_\nu + \tfrac{1}{2}\mathcal{B}^*_\nu\right) i\check{c}^{\nu\mu\lambda}\mathcal{F}_{\mu\lambda} + \tfrac{i}{2}(\mathcal{G}^\mu \partial_\mu \phi^* - \phi^* \partial_\mu \mathcal{G}^\mu) \\ & + \tfrac{m-a}{2}\mathcal{G}_\nu \mathcal{G}^\nu + \tfrac{i}{2}(\phi^* j^\mu \overset{-m}{\nabla}_\mu \phi) + [\cdots]^* + \partial_\mu(Z^\mu_1) \end{aligned} \tag{77}$$

here the term $[\cdots]^*$ denotes complex conjugated part of the former parts. Varying $S_{\mathcal{G}\phi B}$ with respect to $\mathcal{B}_\mu$ gives directly

$$\check{c}^{\mu\nu\lambda}(\overset{a}{\nabla}_\nu \mathcal{G}_\lambda - \overset{a}{\nabla}_\lambda \mathcal{G}_\nu) = -\check{c}^{\mu\nu\lambda}\mathcal{F}_{\nu\lambda} \tag{78}$$

Plugging this back into $S_{\mathcal{G}\phi\mathcal{B}}$, eliminating $\mathcal{B}_\mu$ from it, gives Dirac Lagrangian (34), i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} S_G = \; & \tfrac{1}{2}[(\partial_\mu G_\nu)^* i\check{c}^{\nu\mu\lambda} G_\lambda - G^*_\nu i\check{c}^{\nu\mu\lambda}\partial_\mu G_\lambda + m(G^*_\nu G^{*\nu} + G_\nu G^\nu)] \\ & + \partial_\mu[\tfrac{1}{2}(\mathcal{G}^*_\nu i\check{c}^{\nu\mu\lambda}\mathcal{B}_\lambda - \mathcal{B}^*_\nu i\check{c}^{\nu\mu\lambda}\mathcal{G}_\lambda) + Z^\mu_1] \end{aligned} \tag{79}$$

here $G^\mu = \mathcal{G}^\mu - j^\mu \phi$ and $A_\mu = 0$. The additional last term is the total divergence and does not contribute to the equation of motion. We choose $Z^\mu_1$ such that the last surface term is equal to zero. We want to notice that elimination of $\mathcal{B}^\mu$ from $S_{\mathcal{G}\phi\mathcal{B}}$ is not so easy here; the solution of equation (78) for $\mathcal{B}^\mu$ is non-trivial. However, we have not attempted to an explicit solution of Eq. (78) here.

Alternatively, varying $\mathcal{G}_\nu$ in the parent action $\int (d^4x) S_{\mathcal{G}\phi\mathcal{B}}$ yields

$$(m-a)\mathcal{G}^\mu = \frac{i}{2}\mathcal{F}^*_{\lambda\nu}\check{c}^{\nu\lambda\mu} - ip^{\mu\nu}_+ \partial_\nu \phi^* \tag{80}$$

here the projective operator $p^{\mu\nu}_+ = (\eta^{\mu\nu} + j^\mu j^\nu)$. Eliminating $\mathcal{G}_\nu$ from $S_{\mathcal{G}\phi\mathcal{B}}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\phi\mathcal{B}} = \; & \tfrac{1}{8(m-a)}(\check{c}^{\nu\mu\lambda}\mathcal{F}_{\mu\lambda})(\check{c}^{\nu\rho\delta}\mathcal{F}_{\rho\delta}) + \tfrac{1}{4}\mathcal{B}^*_\nu i\check{c}^{\nu\mu\lambda}\mathcal{F}_{\mu\lambda} \\ & - \tfrac{1}{2(m-a)}(\partial_\mu \phi)\check{c}^{\mu\nu\lambda}\mathcal{F}_{\nu\lambda} \\ & + \tfrac{1}{2(m-a)}(\partial_\mu \phi)p^{\mu\nu}_+(\partial_\nu \phi) + \tfrac{i}{2}\phi^* j^\mu \overset{-m}{\nabla}_\mu \phi \\ & + [\cdots]^* + \partial_\mu(Z^\mu_2) \end{aligned} \tag{81}$$

here $[\cdots]^*$ denotes complex conjugated part of the former parts, $\mathcal{B}_\nu j^\nu = 0$ and

$$Z^\mu_2 = [Z^\mu_1 + \frac{i}{2}\mathcal{G}^{*\mu}\phi - \frac{i}{2}\mathcal{G}^\mu \phi^*] \tag{82}$$

The last term in Eq. (81) is a surface term and does not contribute to the equation of motion. Thus, from the parent action $\int(d^4x)S_{\mathcal{G}\phi\mathcal{B}}$ we have shown that Dirac's action $\int(d^4x)S_G$ and new action $\int(d^4x)S_{\mathcal{B}\phi}$ is dual to each other; the two actions represent the same physics (at least classically).

The corresponding equations of motion for new fields $\mathcal{B}^\mu$ and $\phi$ are

$$\begin{aligned} \overset{m}{\nabla}_\nu \mathcal{F}^{\nu\mu} - \tfrac{m+a}{2}\mathcal{F}^*_{\nu\lambda}\check{\epsilon}^{\lambda\nu\mu} &= j^\nu \overset{a}{\nabla}_\nu \overset{-m}{\nabla^\mu} \phi \\ (\partial\partial\phi + m^2\phi) &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{83}$$

Clearly they are identical with Dirac equation (36) when equation (80) is used.

There is a gauge freedom in the above model. The tensor $\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu}$ which is defined by Eq. (76), is equivalent to $B^{\mu\nu}$ up to axial gauge and is invariant against the following gauge transformation

$$\mathcal{B}^\mu \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}^\mu + p_+^{\mu\nu}\partial_\nu\Theta \tag{84}$$

Here $\Theta = \beta ch(-axj) + i\beta^* sh(-axj)$ and $\beta$ is localized only in a "spin manifold" $M^{2+1}$ (i.e., $j^\mu\partial_\mu\beta = 0$). The equation of motion is invariant against the above gauge transformation. While the corresponding Lagrangian (81) is not gauge-invariant but changes only by a total derivative.

As mentioned in the previous section, it is convenient to use (antisymmetric) self-dual tensor $\mathcal{H}^{[\mu\nu]}$ instead of $\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu}$. On the analogy of Eqs. (69)(70)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}^{[\mu\nu]} &\overset{\text{def.}}{=} \tfrac{i}{2(m-a)}c^{\mu\lambda\rho\nu}\mathcal{F}_{\lambda\rho} = \tfrac{i}{(m-a)}(\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu} - \tfrac{i}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}\mathcal{F}_{\lambda\rho}) \\ \mathcal{G}^{*\mu} &= -\mathcal{H}^{[\mu\nu]}j_\nu + \tfrac{i}{m-a}p_+^{\mu\nu}\partial_\nu\phi \end{aligned} \tag{85}$$

With these notations the Lagrangian (81) takes the following form

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\phi\mathcal{B}} = \; & \tfrac{m-a}{8}\mathcal{H}^{[\mu\nu]}\mathcal{H}_{[\mu\nu]} + i\mathcal{H}^{[\mu\nu]}j_\nu(\partial_\mu\phi - \tfrac{i(m-a)}{2}\mathcal{B}^*_\mu) \\ & + \tfrac{1}{2(m-a)}(\partial_\mu\phi)p_+^{\mu\nu}(\partial_\nu\phi) + \tfrac{i}{2}\phi^* j^\mu \overset{-m}{\nabla}_\mu \phi \\ & + [\cdots]^* + \partial_\mu(Z_2^\mu) \end{aligned} \tag{86}$$

The equations of motion read

$$\begin{aligned} \overset{-m}{\nabla}_\nu \mathcal{H}^{[\nu\mu]} &= \tfrac{i}{m-a}j^\nu \overset{a}{\nabla}_\nu \overset{-m}{\nabla^\mu} \phi \\ \partial\partial\phi + m^2\phi &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{87}$$

$\mathcal{H}_{[\mu\nu]}$ must be an invariant object. In vacuum $(\mathcal{G}^\mu, \phi) = (0, 0)$. Thus according to Eq. (85), the condition for pure gauge vacuum must be $\mathcal{H}_{[\mu\nu]} = 0$ or equivalently $\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu} - \frac{i}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}\mathcal{F}_{\lambda\rho} = 0$ (not necessary $\mathcal{F}^{\nu\mu} = 0$ or $\delta\mathcal{B}^\mu = p_+^{\mu\nu}\partial_\nu\Theta$). And this implies the self-dual property of the pure gauge vacuum.

Now consider the particle confined to motion on a plane (like Holl effect). In other words consider the fields $\mathcal{B}^\mu$ and $\phi$ on an oriented three-dimensional spin manifold $M^{2+1}$

(i.e., $j^\nu \partial_\nu \mathcal{B}^\mu = 0$ and $j^\nu \partial_\nu \phi = 0$). If $a = 0$ then the Lagrangian (81) and equations of motion (83) take the following form

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\phi\mathcal{B}} = \; & \tfrac{-1}{4m}(\mathcal{F}_{\mu\lambda}\mathcal{F}^{\mu\lambda} - m\mathcal{B}^*_\nu \check{\epsilon}^{\nu\mu\lambda}\mathcal{F}_{\mu\lambda}) \\ & + \tfrac{1}{2m}[(\partial_\mu\phi)p_+^{\mu\nu}(\partial^\nu\phi) - m^2\phi\phi] \\ & + [\cdots]^* + \partial_\mu[(\cdots)^\mu] \end{aligned} \tag{88}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\nu \mathcal{F}^{\nu\mu} + \tfrac{m}{2}\check{\epsilon}^{\mu\nu\lambda}\mathcal{F}^*_{\nu\lambda} &= 0 \\ (\partial\partial\phi + m^2\phi) &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{89}$$

Notice: there is no interaction between $(\mathcal{B}_1)_\mu$, $(\mathcal{B}_2)_\mu$ and $\phi$ (here $\mathcal{B}_\mu = (\mathcal{B}_1)_\mu + i(\mathcal{B}_2)_\mu$). In fact, the interaction term in the Lagrangian has become a surface-term and it does not contribute to the equations of motion. This model does not contain the symmetric Jordan structure. Thus the pure gauge vacuum must be denoted by $\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu} = 0$ or equivalently $\delta\mathcal{B}^\mu = p_+^{\mu\nu}\partial_\nu\Theta$. We conclude that on the spin-manifold the 3d-vector part of Dirac field $\mathcal{G}_\mu$ is dual-equivalent to 3d topologically massive gauge field[2].

# 7 Non-integrable exponential factor.

The concept of "non-integrable phase factor" was introduced and has studied by H. Weyl[18] (1929), Dirac[19,20] (1931), C.N. Yang[21], etc. Now, physicists know that the effect of an electromagnetic potential is to introduce a non-integrable phase in the wave function of the potential-free particle $\Psi = \Psi_0 \cdot e^{i\int eA_\mu dx^\mu}$. Electromagnetism is thus the gauge-invariant manifestation of this non-integrable phase factor[21]. Dirac emphasized that "non integrable phases are perfectly compatible with all the general principles of quantum mechanics and do not in any way restrict their physical interpretation". We propose here an alternative way to generalize the above idea to the massive fermion.

Let us start with the fundamental "normed condition" for biquaternion, i.e. Eq.(2). For our biquaternion $G^\mu$ it means that

$$\begin{aligned} (G^*_\mu G^{*\mu})(G_\sigma G^\sigma) &= \quad (G^*_\sigma c^{\sigma\mu\lambda}G_\lambda)\eta_{\mu\nu}(G^*_\rho c^{\rho\nu\tau}G_\tau) \\ (G^*_\mu G^{*\mu})(G_\sigma G^\sigma) &= - \; (G^*_\sigma \check{c}^{\sigma\mu\lambda}G_\lambda)\eta_{\mu\nu}(G^*_\rho \check{c}^{\rho\nu\tau}G_\tau) \end{aligned} \tag{90}$$

From Dirac's Lagrangian (34) we know that $e\pi^\mu = eG^*_\rho c^{\rho\mu\sigma}G_\sigma$ is a charge current, and from physical point of view a charged particle must be massive. According to the principle of special relativity, for massive fermion $\pi_\mu\pi^\mu \neq 0$ ! Thus from the above equation we know that for massive fermion $(G_\sigma G^\sigma) \neq 0$ and thus

$$G^*_\mu = \left(\frac{G^*_\rho c^{\rho\nu\tau}G_\tau}{G_\sigma G^\sigma}\right) c^{\mu\nu\lambda}G_\lambda = -\left(\frac{G^*_\rho \check{c}^{\rho\nu\tau}G_\tau}{G_\sigma G^\sigma}\right) \check{c}^{\mu\nu\lambda}G_\lambda \tag{91}$$

Let $G^\mu = \mathcal{R}^\mu + \mathcal{L}^\mu$ (see eq.(39)), then the above equation becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}^{*\mu} &= \check{c}^{\mu\nu\lambda} K_\nu \mathcal{L}_\lambda &&= \check{c}^{\mu\nu\lambda}(K_\nu^+)\mathcal{L}_\lambda \\ -\mathcal{L}^{*\mu} &= \check{c}^{\mu\nu\lambda} K_\nu^* \mathcal{R}_\lambda &&= \check{c}^{\mu\nu\lambda}(K_\nu^-)^*\mathcal{R}_\lambda \end{aligned} \tag{92}$$

here $\mathcal{R}^\mu \mathcal{L}_\mu \neq 0$ and

$$\begin{gathered} K_\nu = \frac{\mathcal{R}^*_\mu c^{\mu\nu\lambda}\mathcal{R}_\lambda}{2\mathcal{L}_\rho \mathcal{R}^\rho} + \frac{\mathcal{L}^*_\mu c^{\mu\nu\lambda}\mathcal{L}_\lambda}{2(\mathcal{L}_\rho \mathcal{R}^\rho)^*} = K_\nu^+ + K_\nu^- \\ K_\nu K^\nu = 1 \end{gathered} \tag{93}$$

Thus the Dirac equation (40) can be rewritten in the following form

$$\begin{aligned} \check{c}^{\mu\nu\lambda}[\partial_\nu + i(eA_\nu + mK_\nu)]^*\mathcal{R}_\lambda &= 0 \\ \check{c}^{\mu\nu\lambda}[\partial_\nu + i(eA_\nu + mK_\nu)]\mathcal{L}_\lambda &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{94}$$

It is important to notice again that a charged particle must be massive. In the above formalism the mass $m$ was introduced in the similar way as the charge $e$. It is mathematically beautiful and physically natural. This formalism leads to the concept of non-integrable exponential factor. The equation of motion (94) can be rewritten in the following form

$$\begin{aligned} \check{c}^{\mu\nu\lambda}\partial_\nu \mathcal{R}_{0\lambda} = 0 \\ \check{c}^{\mu\nu\lambda}\partial_\nu \mathcal{L}_{0\lambda} = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{95}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_0^\mu &= \mathcal{R}^\mu \cdot e^{-i\int_{x_0}^{x}(eA_\nu + mK_\nu)^* dx^\nu} \\ \mathcal{L}_0^\mu &= \mathcal{L}^\mu \cdot e^{i\int_{x_0}^{x}(eA_\nu + mK_\nu) dx^\nu} \end{aligned} \tag{96}$$

The mapping $(\mathcal{R}^\mu, \mathcal{L}^\mu) \to (\mathcal{R}_0^\mu, \mathcal{L}_0^\mu)$ includes a non-integrable exponential factor, it depends on the path of integration from some initial point $x^0$ to $x^\mu$. Our formalism can be considered as generalization of the "theory of non-integrable phases" which was introduced and studied by H. Weyl, P.A.M. Dirac, and C.N. Yang.

It is important to notice that although in Eqs. (93) and (96) $K_\mu$ is dependent on $(\mathcal{R}^\mu, \mathcal{L}^\mu)$, but there is an inverse correspondence between $(\mathcal{R}^\mu, \mathcal{L}^\mu)$ and $(\mathcal{R}_0^\mu, \mathcal{L}_0^\mu)$. Using Eqs.(93) and (96) we obtain

$$\frac{\mathcal{R}^*_{0\mu} c^{\mu\nu\lambda}\mathcal{R}_{0\lambda}}{2\mathcal{L}_{0\rho}\mathcal{R}_0^\rho} + \frac{\mathcal{L}^*_{0\mu} c^{\mu\nu\lambda}\mathcal{L}_{0\lambda}}{2(\mathcal{L}_{0\rho}\mathcal{R}_0^\rho)^*} = \frac{\mathcal{R}^*_\mu c^{\mu\nu\lambda}\mathcal{R}_\lambda}{2\mathcal{L}_\rho \mathcal{R}^\rho} + \frac{\mathcal{L}^*_\mu c^{\mu\nu\lambda}\mathcal{L}_\lambda}{2(\mathcal{L}_\rho \mathcal{R}^\rho)^*} = K^\nu \tag{97}$$

We find that after mapping $(\mathcal{R}^\mu, \mathcal{L}^\mu) \mapsto (\mathcal{R}_0^\mu, \mathcal{L}_0^\mu)$ the unit (complex) vector $K_\mu$ is *form-invariant*. In other words, unit (complex) vector $K_\mu(\mathcal{R}_0^\mu, \mathcal{L}_0^\mu)$ is the same function of its arguments $(\mathcal{R}_0^\mu, \mathcal{L}_0^\mu)$ as the original $K_\mu(\mathcal{R}^\mu, \mathcal{L}^\mu)$ was of *its* arguments $(\mathcal{R}^\mu, \mathcal{L}^\mu)$.

Thus equation (96) now reads

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_0^\mu \cdot e^{i\int(eA_\nu + mK_\nu)^* dx^\nu} &= \mathcal{R}^\mu \\ \mathcal{L}_0^\mu \cdot e^{-i\int(eA_\nu + mK_\nu) dx^\nu} &= \mathcal{L}^\mu \end{aligned} \tag{98}$$

here $K_\mu$ is the function of $\mathcal{R}_0^\mu$ and $\mathcal{L}_0^\mu$. This means that the mapping $(\mathcal{R}^\mu, \mathcal{L}^\mu) \rightarrow (\mathcal{R}_0^\mu, \mathcal{L}_0^\mu)$ is invertible. $(\mathcal{R}_0^\mu, \mathcal{L}_0^\mu)$ (which satisfies the massless uncharged Dirac equation) is completely defines $(\mathcal{R}^\mu, \mathcal{L}^\mu)$ (which satisfies the massive Dirac equation) and *vice versa.* Under dual transformation $(\mathcal{R}^\mu, \mathcal{L}^\mu) \leftrightarrow (\mathcal{R}_0^\mu, \mathcal{L}_0^\mu)$ the unit (complex) vector $K_\mu$ is unchanged! Although at the level of equation of motion two models are dual-equivalent. But for physicist it is easier, at the beginning, to work with more symmetric massless unchanged Dirac equation.

Up to day physicists consider Dirac field as a spinor field. Thus, it is worth while to rewrite the above result in a more familiar spinor form.

**Theorem:** Physical spinor field $\Psi$ which satisfies massive charged Dirac equation (i.e. all solutions of massive Dirac equation) can be expressed in the following form

$$\Psi \overset{d}{=} \Psi_0 \cdot e^{i\int(eA_\mu - mK_\mu)dx^\mu} \tag{99}$$

here $\Psi_0 = R_0 + L_0$ satisfies massless (uncharged) Dirac equation and $\bar{\Psi}_0\Psi_0 \neq 0$. The unit vector

$$K_\mu = \frac{\bar{R}_0\gamma_\mu R_0}{2\bar{R}_0 L_0} + \frac{\bar{L}_0\gamma_\mu L_0}{2\bar{L}_0 R_0} = \frac{\bar{R}\gamma_\mu R}{2\bar{R}L} + \frac{\bar{L}\gamma_\mu L}{2\bar{L}R} \tag{100}$$

$$\begin{aligned} K_\mu\gamma^\mu R &= L \\ K_\mu\gamma^\mu L &= R \end{aligned} \tag{101}$$

(Remark: equations (24) can be considered as a special case of the above equations.)

It is important to notice that in general $K_\mu = [\mathrm{Re}\,(K_\mu) + i\mathrm{Im}\,(K_\mu)]$ is complex.

$$i\mathrm{Im}\,(K_\mu) = \frac{(\bar{\Psi}_0\gamma_\mu\gamma^5\Psi_0)(\bar{\Psi}_0\gamma^5\Psi_0)}{(\bar{\Psi}_0\gamma_\nu\Psi_0)(\bar{\Psi}_0\gamma^\nu\Psi_0)} \tag{102}$$

$$\mathrm{Re}\,(K_\mu) = \frac{(\bar{\Psi}_0\gamma_\mu\Psi_0)(\bar{\Psi}_0\Psi_0)}{(\bar{\Psi}_0\gamma_\nu\Psi_0)(\bar{\Psi}_0\gamma^\nu\Psi_0)} \tag{103}$$

The imaginary part $\mathrm{Im}\,(K_\mu)$ is associated with the axial current $\pi_5^\mu$ and corresponds to the "scale factor" of a spinor. While the real part $\mathrm{Re}\,(K_\mu)$ is associated with vector current $\pi^\mu$ and corresponds to the phase of the spinor.

Up to date, the geometrical and physical interpretation for unit vector $K_\mu$ is not clear for us. However for physicists, the plane-wave solution is the most important solution in the quantum field theory. In this special case, $m\,\mathrm{Re}\,(K_\mu)$ is nothing but the energy-momentum of massive Dirac particle.

A particularly tantalizing result by Dirac, in study of the concept of "non-integrable exponential-phase", concerns his monopoles. As is well known, he showed that within quantum mechanics monopole strength has to be quantized, but the quantization does not arise from a quantal eigenvalue problem. Rather quantization is enforced by requirement that the exponential-phase in the wave function must be quantized. The interest of the theory of magnetic poles is that it forms a natural generalization of the usual electrodynamics and it leads to the quantization of electricity. Dirac emphasized that "the quantization of electricity is one of the most fundamental and striking features of atomic physics, and there seems to be no explanation for it apart from the theory of poles"[20].

We propose here an alternative way to generalize Dirac's idea to the massive fermion. Consider the phenomena confined to motion on a **plane**, like Holl effect. In the special coordinate system (27) and (28), let

$$G_0^\mu = \frac{a}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 2i \\ b(x-iy)/r^2 \\ b(y+ix)/r^2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \Psi_0^\alpha = \frac{a}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 2i \\ b(x+iy)/r^2 \\ 0 \\ -b(x+iy)/r^2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{104}$$

here $a, b$ are real constants, $x^\mu = (t, x, y, z)$ and $r^2 = x^2 + y^2$. It is easy to prove that the above $G_0^\mu$ (and $\Psi_0^\alpha(x, y)$) satisfies massless uncharged Dirac equation, and the unit vector

$$K^\mu = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + b^2/(2r^2) \\ -by/r^2 \\ bx/r^2 \\ b^2/(2r^2) \end{pmatrix} \tag{105}$$

is real. $\Psi = \Psi_0 \cdot e^{i\int(eA_\mu - mK_\mu)dx^\mu}$ satisfies massive Dirac equation and $\bar{\Psi}\Psi = a^2$.

Because of the single-valued nature of quantum mechanical wave function, we can naturally conjecture that the mapping $\Psi_0 \longrightarrow \Psi$ (on the plane) is single-valued, and it leads to the requirement that: the *phase* change of a wave function $\oint_C (eA_\mu - mRe(K_\mu))dx^\mu$ round any closed curve $C$ on the $(x, y)$ plane, must be close to $2n\pi$ where $n$ is some integer. This integer will be a characteristic of possible singularity in $A_\mu$ and $\mathrm{Re}\,(K_\mu)$. In our case it means that

$$\oint_C (eA_\mu - m\,\mathrm{Re}\,(K_\mu))dx^\mu = (\oint_C eA_\mu dx^\mu) + 2\pi bm = 2\pi n \tag{106}$$

and it leads to the law of *quantization* of physical constants. The charged particle must be massive, thus the quantization of electricity must have close relation with the mass. Ap to date, the existence of magnetics monopole is an open question yet, thus the above equation will lead to the law of quantization of constant $(bm)$ which includes the mass parameter.

# References

[1] S. Coleman, Phys. Rev. D **11** (1975) 1088; S. Mandelstam, Phys. Rev. D **11** (1975) 3026; T.H.R. Skyrme, Proc. R. Soc. A **247** (1958) 260.

[2] S. Deser and R. Jackiw, Phys. Lett. B **139** (1984) 371.

[3] S. Deser, R. Jackiw, S. Templeton, Ann. Phys. **140** (1982) 372.

[4] E. Cartan, (in French Edition) *Lecons sur la Theorie des Spineurs I , II*, Herman, Paris (1938); (in English translation by R. Streater) *The theory of spinors*, Herman, Paris (1966).

[5] J.F. Adams, *Superspace and Supergravity*, ed. S.W. Hawking and M. Rocek, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (1981) p.435.

[6] J.F. Adams, *Lectures on Exceptional Lie Groups*, eds. Zafer Mahmud and Mamoru Mimira, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, (1996).

[7] J.C. Baez, *The Octonions*, math.RA/0105155.

[8] C.C. Chevalley, *The Algebraic Theory of Spinors*, Columbia U.P., New York (1954).

[9] A. Hurwitz, *Nachr. Ges. Wiss. Gottingen,* (1898), pp.309–316.

[10] G.F. Frobenius, Journal fur die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik, **84** (1878) 1–63.

[11] Liu Yu-Fen, *Triality, Biquaternion and Vector Representation of Dirac equation,* math-ph/0109008.

[12] J.P. Ward, *Quaternions and Cayley Numbers*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, (1997).

[13] B. Eckman, *Stetige Lösungen linear Gleichungssysteme*, Comment. Math. Helv. **15** (1942-3) 318–339 ; R.B. Brown and A. Gray, *Vector cross products.*, Comment. Math. Helv. **42** (1967) 222.

[14] S.-S. Shern, *On the non-existence of a complex structure on the six sphere*, Nankai Institute of Mathematics preprint 2004. (unpablished) ; Andrei Marshakov and Antti J. Niemi, *Yang-Mills, complex structure and Chern's last theorem.* hep-th/0501050.

[15] H. Bateman, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. **2** (1904), 451.

[16] R.S. Ward, *Quantum Gravity 2*, A second Oxford Symposium, Edited by C.T. Isham, R. Penrose and D.W.Siama, (1981), pp. 593–611.

[17] R. Jackiw, *4-Dimensional Einstein Theory Extended by a 3-Dimensional Chern–Simons Term*, gr-qc/0310115 ; R. Jackiw and S.-Y. Pi, *Chern–Simons Modification of General Relativity*, gr-qc/0308071 ; S. Carroll, G. Field, R. Jackiw , Phys. Rev. D **41** (1990) 1231.

[18] H. Weil, Z. Physik **56** (1929) 330.

[19] P.A.M. Dirac, Proc. Roy. Soc. A **133** (1931) 60.

[20] P.A.M. Dirac, Phys. Rev. **74** (1948) 817.

[21] C.N. Yang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **33** (1974) 445–447.